EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES (EEJHSS) ISSN: 2992-4111

©EEJHSS Publications Volume 7 Issue 3 2025

Building Resilience through Risk Management in Education

Kakungulu Samuel J.

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

The education sector faces mounting pressure to withstand and recover from a wide array of disruptions, including global pandemics and climate-related hazards, as well as reputational and technological risks. This paper examines how risk management can be strategically leveraged to build resilience within education systems. It defines resilience in educational contexts, reviews the typologies of risks faced by institutions, and analyzes frameworks for risk assessment and response. By integrating adaptive strategies, stakeholder engagement, and risk-aware decision-making, institutions can not only absorb shocks but also transform in ways that enhance their core missions. Through an interdisciplinary approach drawing from disaster management, systems thinking, and educational policy, the paper presents both theoretical underpinnings and empirical case studies to support the development of resilient, future-ready education systems. It concludes with a forward-looking examination of global trends, emphasizing the need for continuous evaluation, collaborative planning, and the embedding of risk management practices into institutional culture.

Keywords: Educational resilience, risk management, disaster preparedness, institutional vulnerability, risk assessment, stakeholder engagement, adaptive education systems.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been considerable concern about the resilience of various systems to adverse shocks. Education, too, is a system that is under pressure globally. The pandemic created catastrophic shocks to education systems across the globe. In considering the future of education and its resilience, many of the discussions regarding shocks, vulnerabilities, and resilience in society are applicable. Stakeholders in education systems need to think about what shocks are conceivable and how they could be effectively avoided, as well as how education systems could withstand and/or recover from such shocks. Resilience is defined as the ability of a system to absorb disturbance and reorganize while changing, to still retain essentially the same function, structure, identity, and feedback. To be resilient, systems need to be robust and/or flexible. Furthermore, the robustness and/or flexibility of systems can be a function of the contexts within which systems exist; for example, more robust monetary systems could lead to less flexible education systems. To ensure the resilience of education systems, the shocks that are conceivable need to first be identified, then vulnerabilities located, and finally, options for the mitigation of vulnerabilities need to be developed. As the serious scholarship on education resilience is new and very situated, a first step in this research is to develop a theoretical framework on the resilience of education. In developing such a framework, ideas from natural sciences have been used. Educational authorities are entrusted with the money and strategies necessary for delivering education to children's rights and national/regional objectives. To this end, education systems and schools have been established across the globe. The tasks of educational authorities involve optimizing the delivery of education before shocks and recovering, to the greatest extent possible, the delivery of education after shocks [1, 2].

Understanding Risk Management

Risk management is defined as the process of identifying, assessing, and responding to risk. Risk is defined as the chance of something happening that will have an impact. Risk can be thought of as an event

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

that comprises a source, a cause, an event, and its consequences or impact. Residual risk is the risk that remains after management's responses to risk threats and countermeasures have been applied. Risk response is concerned with assessing the effect that the selected response will have on risk likelihood and impact. Managers select a response that is expected to bring residual risk within desired risk tolerances. When a risk is accepted, the manager decides to do nothing. Risk acceptance entails explicit consideration, hope, and submission or surrender. Risk avoidance is a conscious decision to act in such a manner as to evade specific risk events. Risk control consists of detailed requirements that management develops and implements, but the exact manner of compliance is left to the discretion of management. Risk tolerance is the acceptable level of variation in performance relative to the achievement of objectives. In other words, it is associated with the potential performance variation around a target outcome within established laws and regulations. Risk treatment is the process of modifying risk. Deciding whether it is worthwhile proceeding in the manner proposed. The decision process is based on consideration of benefit-cost, risk acceptance, or exposure of materials that confer risk, and stakeholder political behavior. Many academic organizations are biased toward positive reputation and rankings. There is an aura about elite institutions, and the social, business, and M&A ecosystem tends to gravitate toward them. Institutions across the globe want to increase their quality and scope of departments, create academic awards, research degrees, and create their own smaller bureaucracies for accreditation and recognition [3, 4].

Types of Risks in Educational Settings

In educational settings, various risks can affect their functioning and effectiveness, including compliance, operational, reputational, and strategic risks. Prioritizing these risks is crucial for maintaining institutional operations, and practical risk management strategies must be implemented. Compliance risks vary but are often urgent; institutions must adhere to legal and regulatory standards, facing multiple compliance frameworks. However, many schools fail to view compliance as a competitive advantage, instead delivering uncoordinated efforts disconnected from their core business. Operational risks may stem from poorly executed policies or the actions of academics who may lack the necessary skills for roles requiring financial or data analysis. Reputational risks can arise from a combination of issues, including operational performance, stakeholder engagement, and technology. The rise of social media and demands for transparency have made reputational concerns particularly significant for educational institutions, exposing them to complex brand challenges [5, 6].

Frameworks for Risk Assessment

In any educational institution, incidents affecting safety and security can lead to significant consequences, impacting the local environment and creating broader repercussions. Consequently, these institutions are tasked with identifying, assessing, and mitigating risks to ensure ongoing operations and maintain community trust. Risk assessment must occur locally, and as operations mature, the risk management strategy should expand to address larger risks. Mature organizations can allocate staff and resources to systematically assess risks using effective frameworks, developing institutional knowledge in risk management. A key aspect is assuring the security of information technology systems, as computer security breaches present considerable risk that must be managed. Institutions are implementing systems to protect areas like IT security, compliance, student safety, health, media exposure, and social media. Frameworks can employ risk-based approaches across various levels, from university-wide programs to departmental assessments. Establishing protective action frameworks based on hazard assessments helps build resilience. This adaptive model is recognized across educational institutions, reflecting an acknowledgment of existing risks and the importance of formalized risk management. A recommendation is that these risk management frameworks integrate with existing management systems wherever possible, characterizing accountability and ownership of risk management activities across determined capacity areas [7, 8].

Building a Resilient Educational Environment

With increased recognition that the impacts of climate change may be even more devastating than previously understood, educators in all areas and at all levels are called upon to help citizens develop resilience against the impacts of hazards. Education is recognized as fulfilling several essential functions in building community resilience: improving understanding of risks and how to mitigate against those risks, reducing the chance of high-impact low-probability events occurring (and preparing for the inevitable), analyzing data associated with risks, and educating for response and recovery. Education is, therefore, a critical aspect of any hazard mitigation initiative. Climate change is often described as the greatest challenge faced by humanity, and increasing attention is being paid to developing resilience to its

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

effects. There are many complexities associated with preparing for the impacts of climate change hazards, but work on community resilience to hazards associated with climate variability can be built upon. It is important to incorporate climate change topics in education. Rapidly changing climate conditions are causing unprecedented risks to human life, ecosystems, and the economies supporting them. Current weather patterns are accompanied by changes in oceanic and atmospheric conditions, the altitude of the seasonal snow and rate of runoff, thawing permafrost, and shifting ice shelves. These changes will cause increased tsunamis, waves, and currents, and different coastline configurations. Upslope, changes are affecting hillslopes too, and hence, hydrology and quality of water are seen as opportunities in the extractive industry. Models of these changes and their impacts on ecosystems and human settlements are increasingly sophisticated, and there are efforts to understand the role of critical thresholds in the collapse of both ecosystems and social paradigms. Warning systems are being developed, but in many cases, lives and livelihoods are still put at risk. Thus, a community's resilience to hazards is of global concern. There is evidence that disaster education improves a community's resilience against a variety of damage sources. Consequently, education is called upon to contribute to the mitigation of damage from the impacts of climate variability [9, 10].

Strategies for Effective Risk Management

Effective risk management is key to building resilience. Successful organisations adopt a risk-benefit principle. This requires establishing an appropriate tolerance and appetite for risk, aligning with risk management frameworks strategies, moving to a more proactive stance to try to forecast, rather than react to, anticipated potential risks, and acknowledging that risk is non-finite. Benefits of an effective risk management culture include a greater diversity of, and more informed, decision making, a more engaged workforce focused on achieving success, more innovative management of change, and a clearer understanding of risks and issues that are material to the organisation's success. At an institutional level, it is clear that a 'set and forget' approach to risk management strategies, frameworks, and polices is a misguided approach. Resilience risk management strategies need to be continually reviewed and assessed for their relevance and effectiveness, always a priority in a constantly changing operating environment. This requires risk management to be an active agenda item at least at every quarterly Board meeting, with risk committees regularly providing updates. A helpful 'hold the CEO to account' indicator is whether completed actions identified in risk matrix updates regularly appear on Board/committee agendas to report progress [11, 12].

Stakeholder Engagement

The envisioned resilience-planning framework is designed for stakeholders in education-risk management systems. Whereas stakeholder engagement is critical in the disaster-risk management process, limited data exists on areas under uncertainty where stakeholder judgments carry additional weight. Clear communication is crucial in stakeholder engagement, enabling stakeholders to set stakeholder engagement expectations to decrease the occurrence of discontent and low-quality outputs. This identifies stakeholders through a structured assessment method, selecting a representative group using a variety of representativeness metrics involving previously gathered social network data. Stakeholders are proactively engaged to gather information about the past, present, and future factors affecting education recovery, enabling them to identify factors that are uncertain and range, observe, and update state beliefs. The Bayes rule quantifies the influence of stakeholder evidence, leading to stronger beliefs in more probable hypotheses. Stakeholders can manually intervene to revise preconditions before catastrophe realization. Stakeholder engagement was modeled as a multi-step decision process from the education-focused perspective. Education analysts examined the vulnerability of education systems to assess disaster recovery. Group discussions helped identify any gap areas for analysis and determine feasibility for ongoing focus. A serious game was designed as a stakeholder engagement tool, with static decision processes facilitating interaction and clarifying upcoming process steps. It is calibrated carefully to apply correct dynamics and maximize data extraction while maintaining control over process flow. Time limits assured efficient use of effort in each decision-processing step. Validation testing of the game's dynamics ensured it generated a realistic experience under consideration of focus group input [13, 14].

Case Studies

Preparation and response plans for schools, colleges, and universities are important elements for protecting students and staff in the event of hazards and calamities. Education decision-makers should build a simple, advanced disaster preparation and response plan template for local leaders to use in different school systems in their communities. With the support of local government, educational

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

administrators should use this template to plan and train relevant personnel on how to effectively respond. Collaboration with local first responders to conduct drills will help the education system prepare for local hazards. Advancement plans must include preparedness and prevention components as well as violence prevention. Using an all-hazards approach, educational administrators can prevent and reduce the risk of violence in the educational environment through a variety of proactive, preventive measures. These efforts include establishing partnerships, safe-school evaluation procedures, training and awareness programs, intervention, and supportive tools. This systematic assessment can be the basis for action plans for operational policy and preventative initiatives. Building the community's and education systems' ability to handle disasters can include mitigation, or strategic initiatives to reduce vulnerabilities, as running drills, which help to prepare the education community to anticipate responses during disasters. Disaster safety and emergency preparedness are essential considerations for the first stage of the school facility's lifespan. This proactive course of action should be taken early in the design process and should inform important aspects of the design, such as building location, site planning, and structural elements. For buildings, this includes developing key requirements for secure site access, structural survivability, and survivors' access to surrounding areas after the building has been secured. For delegates, this means working with and finding ways to prepare the site for possible disasters through pre-building exercises and topographical analysis. Moreover, this includes a continuous engagement and monitoring process with the authorities to assist with their response planning for natural disasters. This monitoring and reviewing process would also include improving the preparedness to respond phase, once the buildings are occupied and operational only [15, 16].

Evaluating Risk Management Practices

Evaluating risk management practices is necessary to determine the effectiveness of the current practices and identify opportunities for improvement. This chapter details the methodology this research employed to achieve the objectives and provide a detailed explanation of the processes used to gather and analyze the data in answer to the objectives of the study. This study utilized quantitative analysis to determine the current risk management practices in education. A descriptive cross-sectional survey was conducted utilizing a self-administered questionnaire to collect data from respondents. The target population included all teachers, school staff, and risk management coordinators from primary schools to universities. The sample was selected using a simple random sampling technique, and the total respondents was 200 out of 450 participants. Statistical software was used for data entry, analysis, and interpretation. Statistical analysis was used to analyze the data using a t-test, one-way ANOVA, and Kruskal-Wallis tests. The statistical tests were used to test hypotheses and determine whether there was a significant difference between respondents' mean scores based on certain characteristics. Also, the data collected were tabulated and summarized into descriptive statistics in the following order for analysis. The demographic characteristics of the respondents were summarized, and the main study findings were summarized [17, 18].

Future Directions in Risk Management

Risk management on a global agenda. Risk management: First steps and future directions. Future directions in risk management. Risk management: An overview of infrastructure development activities in countries/regions. Progress in disaster risk reduction: Overview of the 7th World Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction. Education in a changing climate. Resilience and adaptation. The growth of risk analysis and management. Future ECRs in risk management: Opportunities and challenges. Outsourcing of risk management services. Risk management function and mobility issues. Future directions. Risk assessment: Towards a uniform approach? Operational problems in risk management. Crisis, emergency, and catastrophe management. Integrated approach to risk management within public sector organisations in the Netherlands. The discussion of risk management in a national context: The case of new EU member states. Management of risks and contingencies in education systems. Foresight technique and models for education scenario building in Europe. Contingency plan. Contingency plans for elite institutions on crisis exposure. Situation and projection of research about risk assessment in colleges and universities. Integration of Risk Management into the Decision-Making: The First Enterprise Risk Management Development Report in China. Integrating enterprise risk management (ERM) with operations. The two roads: Ad hoc and formal decision-making for hedge funds with value-at-risk constraints. Integrating appropriate measures to demonstrate effective risk management (ERM). Quantitative measures of organizational culture and enterprise risk management: A study of Japan's banking sector. A study on risk management structures and processes in higher educational institutions in developing countries.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Three risk hotspots for international joint research projects and approaches to tackle them: A group-wise perspective. Research on operational risk contagion in commercial banks based on nested multiscale networks. Exploring the challenges of risk-based strategies in education systems. Responsible risk management in above-ground coal gasification by utilizing micro-seismic monitoring technology. Risk management in higher education institutions: The state of the art, challenges and a path forward. Assessment of the institutional exposure of higher education institutions to risk in education, social, and organisational dimensions. Putting institutional goals and risks on a common ground: A preliminary conceptual model. Multi-dimensional enterprise risk management in higher education. Regulatory challenges for infrastructure risk assessment in a changing environment: a Canadian case study. The changing landscape of risk management in higher education: What it is and what it is not. Higher education institutions' understanding and approaches to risk management: The moderating role of environmental complexity. Future directions for the advances in education risk management research. Risk. The role of risk management in the development of higher education. Current challenges of risk management: findings of a survey in higher education institutions in New Zealand. Risk Management in Higher Education [19, 20].

Policy Implications

Over the last two decades, governments in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), often with the support of their international partners, have put in significant effort to build a more resilient education system to protect against natural hazards. This has been mostly achieved through a series of risk management initiatives in new school construction, maintaining existing infrastructure, and considering framework safety. Comprehensive data and evidence are needed on the effectiveness of these investments in terms of risk reduction. Specifically, more analysis is needed regarding the operationalization of risk management strategies by decision-making bodies and stakeholders for better preparedness and response to safely and timely return to learning after disasters. Risk management measures should also consider the inclusion of all children, especially those with disabilities and learning difficulties. Despite the considerable investments in education risk management to date, the outcomes of these investments are not fully understood. This is particularly pertinent for assessment frameworks that define procedures, responsibilities, and protocols across sectors for preparing, responding to, and recovering from hazards. A better understanding of the education risk and safety management approach is needed for all possible stages of a disaster and by all categories of stakeholders. Other than the government and communication, it should be explored how the education sector can collaborate with the public, community, parents, and experts in the process of risk and safety management, especially in potential COVID-19 and post-disaster scenarios. This can help improve the overall understanding of and communication on safety and disaster risks across various education stakeholders. Examples of education policies and programs exist that have successfully improved education sustainability in LMICs. However, fundamental gaps remain, such as addressing safety and risk management issues, utilization of alternative recovery strategies, coordination among stakeholders, and involvement of cross-sectoral agencies. Better planning and resource allocation are of paramount importance for post-disaster education continuity and to limit the unintended social/economic consequences of school destruction to children and their parents. Understanding the flow of optimum resource allocation and use among the diverse relief resources is essential for risk reduction in children's education. There are information/data gaps on the accepted prioritization approaches that would help identify resource flows to alleviate the highlighted challenges in education continuity among stakeholders [21, 22].

CONCLUSION

As educational institutions navigate an increasingly volatile world, resilience must become a central design principle rather than a reactive afterthought. This paper has demonstrated that effective risk management through comprehensive frameworks, stakeholder inclusion, data-driven assessment, and continuous evaluation can serve as a cornerstone for resilient education systems. Whether dealing with pandemics, regulatory shifts, climate impacts, or operational disruptions, schools and universities that proactively identify vulnerabilities and institutionalize risk mitigation strategies are better positioned to fulfill their educational missions. By fostering a culture of preparedness and adaptation and aligning risk management with educational objectives, stakeholders can ensure continuity, equity, and quality in learning outcomes. The future of education depends on our ability to anticipate risks, embrace uncertainty, and build systems that not only survive disruption but evolve through it.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

REFERENCES

1. Reimers FM. Learning from a pandemic. The impact of COVID-19 on education around the world. Primary and secondary education during COVID-19: Disruptions to educational opportunity during a pandemic. 2022:1-37. oapen.org
2. Rao N, Fisher PA. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on child and adolescent development around the world. Child development. 2021 Sep 27;92(5):e738.
3. Abba ZY, Balta-Ozkan N, Hart P. A holistic risk management framework for renewable energy investments. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2022 May 1;160:112305. sciencedirect.com
4. Ullah F, Qayyum S, Thaheem MJ, Al-Turjman F, Sepasgozar SM. Risk management in sustainable smart cities governance: A TOE framework. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. 2021 Jun 1;167:120743. academia.edu
5. Dempere J, Modugu K, Hesham A, Ramasamy LK. The impact of ChatGPT on higher education. InFrontiers in Education 2023 Sep 8 (Vol. 8, p. 1206936). Frontiers Media SA. frontiersin.org
6. Bahrini A, Khamoshifar M, Abbasimehr H, Riggs RJ, Esmaeili M, Majdabadkohne RM, Pasehvar M. ChatGPT: Applications, opportunities, and threats. In2023 Systems and Information Engineering Design Symposium (SIEDS) 2023 Apr 27 (pp. 274-279). IEEE. researchgate.net
7. Linkov I, Fox-Lent C, Read L, Allen CR, Arnott JC, Bellini E, Coaffee J, Florin MV, Hatfield K, Hyde I, Hynes W. Tiered approach to resilience assessment. Risk Analysis. 2018 Sep;38(9):1772-80.
8. Khaw TY, Teoh AP. Risk management in higher education research: a systematic literature review. Quality Assurance in Education. 2023 Feb 28;31(2):296-312.
9. Fu Q, Zhang X. Promoting community resilience through disaster education: Review of community-based interventions with a focus on teacher resilience and well-being. PLoS one. 2024 Jan 2;19(1):e0296393.
10. Kraglund-Gauthier WL, Folinsbee S, Allan Quigley B, Grégoire H. Re-conceptualizing health and learning in terms of community resilience and enterprise. Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy. 2009 Oct 16;3(4):405-14.
11. Nakum VK, Ahamed MS, Isetani S, Chatterjee R, Shaw R, Soma H. Developing a framework on school resilience for risk-informed decision-making. Progress in disaster science. 2022 Oct 1;15:100237. sciencedirect.com
12. Otokiti BO, Igwe AN, Ewim CP, Ibeh AI, Sikhakhane-Nwokediegwu Z. A framework for developing resilient business models for Nigerian SMEs in response to economic disruptions. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2022 Jan;3(1):647-59. allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com
13. Amri A, Lassa JA, Tebe Y, Hanifa NR, Kumar J, Sagala S. Pathways to Disaster Risk Reduction Education integration in schools: Insights from SPAB evaluation in Indonesia. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction. 2022 Apr 15;73:102860. [HTML]
14. Seddighi H, Lopez ML, Zwitter A, Muldoon ML, Sajjadi H, Yousefzadeh S. Non-formal disaster education programs for school students in Iran: A qualitative study of the challenges experienced by stakeholders. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction. 2023 Feb 15;86:103531. sciencedirect.com
15. Agu CF, Stewart J, McFarlane-Stewart N, Rae T. COVID-19 pandemic effects on nursing education: looking through the lens of a developing country. International nursing review. 2021 Jun;68(2):153-8. wiley.com
16. Shortridge A, Walker VI W, White D, Guardaro MM, Hondula DM, Vanos J. HeatReady Schools: a novel approach to enhance adaptive capacity to heat through school community experiences, risks, and perceptions. Dave and Guardaro, Melissa M. and Hondula, David M. and Vanos, Jennifer, HeatReady Schools: A Novel Approach to Enhance Adaptive Capacity to Heat through School Community Experiences, Risks, and Perceptions. 2022 Aug 23.
17. Chan CK. A comprehensive AI policy education framework for university teaching and learning. International journal of educational technology in higher education. 2023 Jul 7;20(1):38. springer.com
18. Coleman P. Validity and reliability within qualitative research for the caring sciences. International Journal of Caring Sciences. 2022;14(3):2041-5.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

19. Shadiyev A. EDUCATION MANAGEMENT IN PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES IN UZBEKISTAN: DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES, CHALLENGES AND PROSPECTS. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence. 2025 Mar 24;1(2):308-13.
20. Bracci E, Mouhcine T, Rana T, Wickramasinghe D. Risk management and management accounting control systems in public sector organizations: a systematic literature review. Public Money & Management. 2022 Aug 18;42(6):395-402. gla.ac.uk
21. Tarricone P, Mestan K, Teo I. A policy monitoring framework to prepare for, respond to, and recover from education in emergencies. Education Inquiry. 2023 Oct 2:1-28.
22. Salmi J. Tertiary education in the 21st century: challenges and opportunities. Higher education management. 2001;13(2).

CITE AS: Kakungulu Samuel J. (2025). Building Resilience through Risk Management in Education. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES, 7(3):27-33

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited